

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/800,742	FIELD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suzanne Barrett	3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DARNELL M. JAYNE (TQAS).

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Hynds.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6564601.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms Jayne explained that previously allowed claims 1 & 3 were anticipated by 6,564,601 and indicated that a claim limitation to the "shape memory alloy actuator" which is in all other independent claims would define around the 6564601 reference and make the application in condition for allowance and not subject to the interference which has caused applicant's application to be suspended.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.